

FERNHURST PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of a meeting of the Planning Applications held at Fernhurst Village Hall
on Monday 3 August 2015 at 6pm

Cllrs PRESENT: Mrs. N. Braithwaite (Chairman)
Mrs. H. Bicknell
Mr. J. Buchanan
Mr. G. Inns
Mrs. M. Timms

Apologies: Mr. J. Smith
Mrs. E. Black
Mrs. D. Dunfield
Mr. R. Chapman
Mr. C. Tedd

Prior to the meeting there was a public representation made by Mr Nick Powell regarding planning application **SDNP/15/00212/HOUS** which had been refused.

The committee heard his concerns and **AGREED** to submit a letter of support to highlight the following points to the case officer as follows:

FPC had recommended no objection to the previous application.

The application was refused by CDC on the 27th of July 2015 but FPC believes that CDC has not considered the following factors:

The applicant is in ownership of the adjacent 2 acres of land.

The new proposed development is more in keeping with the area and is of a better design than the original approved application.

The current property would benefit greatly if the proposed development was approved.

Proposed by Cllr Braithwaite and seconded by Cllr Buchanan.

RESOLVED under delegated authority to write a letter of support to the case officer.

SDNP/15/03400/HOUS
Down Cottage 9 Glebe Road Fernhurst Haslemere West Sussex GU27 3EQ
Timber log store.

Proposed by Cllr
Braithwaite and
Seconded by Cllr
Inns.

**RECOMMEND No
Objection.**

SDNP/15/02781/CND

Fuel Care 10 Midhurst Road Fernhurst Midhurst West Sussex GU27 3EE

Variation of Condition 2 of SDNP/13/05945/FUL to accommodate the minor change in the siting of Plot 1 relative to southern boundary.

Proposed by Cllr
Buchanan and Seconded
by Cllr Braithwaite:
**RECOMMEND
Objection on the
following grounds:**

The following comment was sent to SDNPA:

Upon a site visit undertaken by the Parish Council, it was noted that there may be more than one deviation from the dimensions stated in the original planning consent.

We ask that the Planning Enforcement team satisfy themselves that the 'as-built' dimensions of the dwellings on Plot 1 and Plot 4 correspond to those permitted.

If there should be multiple discrepancies, this will be at the detriment of the rest of the village and may create a precedent.

In addition, the Parish Council requests that formal confirmation be sought from the CDC Tree Officer that the now reduced distance between the TPO'd Horse Chestnut and the south elevation of Plot 1 still poses no threat to the long-term future of the tree.

Meeting closed: 6.50pm

Signed.....Date.....